

To: David Gratson [dgratson@neptuneinc.org]
Cc: CN=Holly Ferguson/OU=CI/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Lauren Drees/OU=CI/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;Rebecca Shircliff [rshircliff@neptuneinc.org]; N=Lauren Drees/OU=CI/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;Rebecca Shircliff [rshircliff@neptuneinc.org]; ebecca Shircliff [rshircliff@neptuneinc.org]
Bcc: []
From: CN=Steve Vandegrift/OU=ADA/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Fri 7/13/2012 7:59:11 PM
Subject: Re: Pavillion VOC question
[8OA866SF, 80A873HW, SS# 6507, 6503, 6390, 23993, Wilkin, \(1\).xlsx](#)
[8OA866SF, 80A873HW, SS# 6507, 6503, 6390, 23993, Wilkin, \(2\).xlsx](#)
[8OA866SF, 80A873HW, SS# 6507, 6503, 6390, 23993, Wilkin, Pav.xlsx](#)
<http://www.neptuneandco.com>

Dave-

Look at the cover page on each report. I think that should answer your question.

They found there were spectral interferences with those highlighted compounds so the report dated June 6 was issued.

The previous 2, both dated April 26, are the same except one is a re-issue to correct a CAS #.

sv

Steve Vandegrift, QA Manager
Ground Water and Ecosystems Restoration Division
NRMRL/ORD/USEPA
P.O. Box 1198
919 Kerr Research Dr.
Ada, OK 74820
(580)436-8684 (voice)
(580)436-8528 (fax)
vandegrift.steve@epa.gov

From: David Gratson <dgratson@neptuneinc.org>
To: Holly Ferguson/CI/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Steve Vandegrift/ADA/USEPA/US@EPA, Lauren Drees/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Rebecca Shircliff <rshircliff@neptuneinc.org>
Date: 07/13/2012 01:27 PM
Subject: Pavillion VOC question

Hi Holly,

I am having troubling understanding why I have multiple spreadsheets for some of the Pavillion VOCs. They way the data are reported, as numerous spreadsheets w names that make little sense, may be some of the problem.

Here is my first question, I got the three attached spreadsheets with the data. Two of these files seem to be the same data. But the remaining file has different concentrations for sample EPAMW02-0412-1 for

the analytes: isobutanol, n-butanol, MTBE, and Tert-amyl methyl ether. See the ND cells in yellow - and note that I have made no changes to these files, not even the yellow highlights.

I just don't know how to interpret this, these summary spreadsheets should be considered part of the laboratories deliverable that is checked. The analysis date for this sample is the same in all three spreadsheets as sample 6507-06. I haven't looked at all the raw data yet but was hoping we could ask the lab to clarify this without me spending hours trying to understand.

I also have a total of 7 spreadsheets for the VOCs, yet there are only three shaw sets: 6507; 6511 & 6514; 6521 & 6532. A first look, it seems like I got duplicate spreadsheets (different names but identical data) for the 6511 & 6514 set and the 6521 & 6532 set. Can someone at the lab clarify why there are so many spreadsheets. It really seems like they should have a cover letter identifying everything that is sent and how it goes together as part of a laboratory report.

Thank you,

Dave

--

David Gratson, CEAC

Environmental Chemist
Neptune and Company, Inc.
1505 15th St., Suite B
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Best way to reach me -->(505) 514-0019 (will forward to my cell phone if no answer)

Second best way ---> Cell: 505-660-8521

Work Voice: 505-662-0707 X29

Fax: 505-662-0500

<http://www.neptuneandco.com>

"Nature holds the key to our aesthetic, intellectual, cognitive and even spiritual satisfaction." E. O. Wilson.

Confidentiality Notice: This email, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient or recipients and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message.